

Railway Expansion Reduces Carbon Emissions: 30 Years of Evidence

Sunbin Yoo¹, Junya Kumagai², Hiroshi Mastushima³, Madhu Khanna⁴, and Shunsuke Managi¹

¹*Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu University*

²*Faculty of Economics, Fukuoka University*

³*Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University*

⁴*Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*

June 24, 2024

Transportation significantly contributes to global carbon emissions, accounting for about 22% of total emissions from 2000 to 2022, primarily due to fossil fuels (Davis et al. (2010)). The International Energy Agency (IEA) reports that 96% of the sector's energy comes from oil-based sources, emphasizing the need for emission reductions. Global initiatives, aligned with Sustainable Development Goals 11 and 13, target a 5% annual decrease in CO_2 emissions from private vehicles by 2050 (Rogelj et al. (2018)). Consequently, the IEA supports expanding railway systems to decarbonize urban mobility, leveraging railways' greater energy efficiency over road transport (Lin et al. (2021)).

This study explores whether 30 years of railway expansion contribute to carbon emission reductions. Our research stands out in three key ways. First, while railways are known for their potential to reduce emissions, significant gaps remain in understanding their efficacy. Railways could reduce emissions by alleviating congestion and promoting a shift to rail transport (Mohring (1972)). However, improved traffic conditions might increase private vehicle usage, worsening pollution (Duranton and Turner (2011)). High-speed rail (HSR) may reduce pollution through specific mechanisms like technical, allocation, and substitution effects (Yang et al. (2019)).

Second, unlike existing studies that use Difference-in-Differences (DID) methods to highlight the direct environmental benefits of new railway stations, our study broadens this scope. We examine how railway network changes affect emissions across various Japanese cities over three decades, identifying potential spillover effects. We incorporate Market Access (MA)—a continuous measure of a city's connectivity—to provide a comprehensive analysis of both direct and indirect effects of improved accessibility.

Although the DID method captures localized impacts, it may miss broader environmental dynamics. For instance, if residents of city A drive to a station to catch a train to city B and then drive from the station in city B to their final destination, this sequence might result in a net decrease in emissions. Conventional DID analyses might misinterpret this as an increase due to the initial car journeys in both cities. Thus, analytical models must encompass both local and broader impacts of new railway stations on urban emissions.

Our analysis reveals that railway expansions significantly reduce carbon emissions. The study indicates that increased railway connectivity leads to a notable decrease in urban CO_2 emissions, supporting the hypothesis that enhanced rail networks alleviate congestion and promote a shift from private vehicle use to rail transport. The data also show that cities with better Market Access experience more pronounced reductions in emissions, highlighting the broader environmental benefits of improved railway infrastructure. These findings suggest that strategic investments in railway systems can play a crucial role in achieving global emission reduction targets.

References

- Davis, Steven J, Ken Caldeira, and H Damon Matthews**, “Future CO₂ emissions and climate change from existing energy infrastructure,” *Science*, 2010, 329 (5997), 1330–1333.
- Duranton, Gilles and Matthew A. Turner**, “The Fundamental Law of Road Congestion: Evidence from US Cities,” *American Economic Review*, October 2011, 101 (6), 2616–52.
- Lin, Yatang, Yu Qin, Jing Wu, and Mandi Xu**, “Impact of high-speed rail on road traffic and greenhouse gas emissions,” *Nature Climate Change*, 2021, 11 (11), 952–957.
- Mohring, Herbert**, “Optimization and scale economies in urban bus transportation,” *The American Economic Review*, 1972, 62 (4), 591–604.
- Rogelj, Joeri, Alexander Popp, Katherine V Calvin, Gunnar Luderer, Johannes Emmerling, David Gernaat, Shinichiro Fujimori, Jessica Strefler, Tomoko Hasegawa, Giacomo Marangoni et al.**, “Scenarios towards limiting global mean temperature increase below 1.5 C,” *Nature climate change*, 2018, 8 (4), 325–332.
- Yang, Xuehui, Shanlang Lin, Yan Li, and Minghua He**, “Can high-speed rail reduce environmental pollution? Evidence from China,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2019, 239, 118135.